

SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA--(Marketwired - Apr 9, 2015) - [Intrepid Mines Ltd.](#) (ASX:IAU) ("Intrepid" or the "Company") advises that assay results.

Final drilling assay results have been received, including 13 diamond holes and 8 reverse circulation holes. The key results to note include:

- Highlights from infill drilling at Kitumba:
 - KITDD_041A: 42 metres @ 2.21% copper from 252-294 metres
 - KITDD_052: 22 metres @ 1.68% copper from 356-378 metres
 - KITDD_053: 64 metres @ 1.55% copper from 226-290 metres
 - KITDD_054: 26 metres @ 1.52% copper from 378-404 metres
- Highlights from geotechnical and sterilisation drilling:
 - KITDD_060: 12 metres @ 1.31% copper from 333-345 metres
 - KITDD_062: 7 metres @ 1.48% copper from 344-351 metres
 - KITDD_068: 39 metres @ 0.75% copper from 380-419 metres
- Highlights from drilling at Kitumba east:
 - KITDD_070: 20 metres @ 0.97% copper from 289-309 metres
- Highlights from RC drilling on new exploration targets:
 - o 7 metres at 0.71% copper from 43-50 metres, with a peak assay of 1 metre @ 0.98% copper from 46-47 metres

The Company's CEO, Scott Lowe said:

"We are pleased to provide the final assay results from the Phase 8 drilling program, as well as the results from some RC drilling on new exploration targets."

The Company recognizes that the current copper market is very challenging for new projects, and the next phase of operations will involve a range of initiatives including drilling nearby satellite targets. We look forward to following up on Targeted Drilling around mid-April.

Feasibility work will continue to be staged to take account of economics and will incorporate these Phase 8 drill results along with 2015 feasibility work."

The Company has received assay results for the following drill holes from the Phase 8 drilling program at Kitumba:

- KITDD_041A
- KITDD_052
- KITDD_053
- KITDD_054
- KITDD_056
- KITDD_057
- KITDD_058
- KITDD_059
- KITDD_060
- KITDD_062
- KITDD_068
- KITDD_069
- KITDD_070

The location of these drill holes is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Phase 8 Kitumba area drill hole location plan, showing collar locations and planned development as presented in the Optimized Development Plan.

Assay results for RC drilling of areas slated for infrastructure (sterilisation drilling) and on IP targets have been received for the following:

- KITRC_003
- KITRC_004
- KITRC_005
- KITRC_006
- HRC_002
- KAKRC_002
- KAKDD_004
- KAKDD_006

Figure 2. RC and sterilisation drill hole location plan showing collar locations and company tenure on hill shaded elevation model: https://www.intrepidmines.com.au/~/media/IntrepidMines/Kitumba/Kitumba%20Drilling%20Program/Kitumba%20Drilling%20Program.pdf

Drilling restarted at Kitumba in June 2014 and was completed in November 2014. A total of 33 diamond holes were completed for a total of 12,849 metres. The drill program was specifically designed to aid in further feasibility studies for both the proposed mine and process plant design. The drilling was targeting additional resource definition, the collection of further metallurgical samples, and geotechnical and hydrogeological assessment.

Table 1. Completed Phase 8 drill holes

| ID | East | North | Z | Azi | EOH Dip (m) | Comment |
|------------|--------|---------|-------|-----|----------------|---------------|
| KAKDD_004 | 474960 | 8375409 | 1,267 | 90 | -70 548 | Sterilisation |
| KAKDD_005 | 476000 | 8375000 | 1,278 | 90 | -60 550 | Sterilisation |
| KAKDD_006 | 476247 | 8374300 | 1,272 | 90 | -57 341 | Sterilisation |
| KITDD_041A | 479091 | 8373991 | 1,405 | 90 | -75 599 | Infill |
| KITDD_042A | 479092 | 8373797 | 1,417 | 90 | -70 539 | Infill |
| KITDD_043 | 479044 | 8373908 | 1,409 | 90 | -78 468 | Infill |
| KITDD_044 | 479160 | 8373797 | 1,431 | 90 | -70 459 | Infill |
| KITDD_045 | 479189 | 8373921 | 1,408 | 90 | -75 543 | Infill |
| KITDD_046 | 479160 | 8373950 | 1,409 | 90 | -70 455 | Infill |
| KITDD_047 | 479212 | 8374067 | 1,420 | 90 | -66 358 | Infill |
| KITDD_048 | 479200 | 8373742 | 1,447 | 0 | -90 542 | Infill |
| KITDD_049 | 479217 | 8374017 | 1,427 | 90 | -70 500 | Infill |
| KITDD_050 | 479087 | 8373702 | 1,424 | 90 | -70 468 | Infill |
| KITDD_051 | 479215 | 8374098 | 1,424 | 85 | -70 423 | Infill |
| KITDD_052 | 479140 | 8374113 | 1,415 | 90 | -70 444 | Infill |
| KITDD_053 | 479172 | 8374024 | 1,415 | 0 | -90 497 | Infill |
| KITDD_054 | 479127 | 8374068 | 1,410 | 90 | -70 551 | Infill |
| KITDD_055 | 479256 | 8373862 | 1,450 | 0 | -90 603 | Infill |
| KITDD_056 | 478777 | 8373870 | 1,386 | 0 | -90 196 | Shaft |
| KITDD_057 | 478777 | 8373921 | 1,384 | 0 | -90 196 | Shaft |
| KITDD_058 | 478777 | 8374034 | 1,396 | 0 | -90 329 | Shaft |
| KITDD_059 | 478777 | 8374284 | 1,389 | 0 | -90 214 | Shaft |
| KITDD_060 | 478905 | 8374127 | 1,408 | 115 | -70 522 | Decline |
| KITDD_061 | 478572 | 8373954 | 1,380 | 239 | -70 141 | Decline |
| KITDD_062 | 478902 | 8373946 | 1,393 | 115 | -70 500 | Decline |
| KITDD_063 | 478363 | 8373829 | 1,359 | 0 | -90 85 | Decline |
| KITDD_064 | 478167 | 8373714 | 1,373 | 59 | -70 71 | Decline |
| KITDD_065 | 478090 | 8373664 | 1,378 | 239 | -70 61 | Decline |
| KITDD_066 | 477998 | 8373604 | 1,379 | 239 | -70 46 | Decline |
| KITDD_067 | 479275 | 8374000 | 1,439 | 88 | -65 402 | Kitumba east |
| KITDD_068 | 478690 | 8374000 | 1,387 | 87 | -55 539 | Kitumba west |
| KITDD_069 | 478800 | 8373910 | 1,390 | 90 | -70 249 | Kitumba west |
| KITDD_070 | 479300 | 8373900 | 1,433 | 88 | -65 414 | Kitumba east |
| 12,853 | | | | | | |

The collection of material for metallurgical analysis included samples representative of ore-feed (and gangue) from a conceptual mining schedule, comminution samples and feed for a pilot plant. Initial assay results were reported to the ASX in December 2014. Metallurgical holes were also designed to fill gaps in the existing resource and to inform a future update of the Mineral Resource Estimate. Select metallurgical/infill holes were also used for geotechnical and hydrological purposes.

Drilling that was specifically designed for geotechnical purposes only was also completed (no mineralisation expected: KITDD_061, KITDD_063, KITDD_064, KITDD_065 and KITDD_066 were not assayed). These holes were drilled into areas of planned development (boxcut, decline, air shafts). Geotechnical holes have been probed with an Acoustic Televiewer ("ATV") for the collection of detailed-high resolution structural and engineering data. Geotechnical samples for laboratory analysis have been collected and planned test work includes: unconfined compressive strength, direct shear, triaxial, Cerchar abrasivity index, slake durability and petrographic analysis.

Drilling Outside of Kitumba

Additional drilling outside the Kitumba resource included a fence of three RC holes on Target F, two RC holes on Target H and sterilisation drilling on areas slated for planned infrastructure (Table 2).

Table 2. RC drilling

| ID | East | North | z | Azi | Dip | EOH | Comments |
|-----------|--------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | | | | | (m) | |
| HRC_001 | 484935 | 8369628 | 1,332 | 310 | 60 | 300 | Target H, not assayed |
| HRC_002 | 485555 | 8369135 | 1,307 | 310 | 60 | 94 | Target H, hole Failed |
| KAKRC_001 | 474769 | 8374771 | 1,268 | 110 | 60 | 300 | Target F, not assayed |
| KAKRC_002 | 475008 | 8374694 | 1,279 | 110 | 60 | 246 | Target F |
| KAKRC_003 | 475251 | 8374591 | 1,295 | 110 | 60 | 288 | Target F, not assayed |
| KITRC_003 | 477444 | 8372609 | 1,282 | 50 | 60 | 300 | Sterilisation (planned camp) |
| KITRC_004 | 476859 | 8373314 | 1,275 | 50 | 60 | 300 | Sterilisation (planned plant) |
| KITRC_005 | 477534 | 8373710 | 1,349 | 50 | 60 | 300 | Sterilisation (planned waste rock dump) |
| KITRC_006 | 477943 | 837519 | 1,300 | 270 | 60 | 276 | Sterilisation (planned water storage facility) |

"Target H" RC Drilling - Assay Results

RC drilling in the Target H IP survey area was focused on a conductivity anomaly for HRC_001 and a coincident chargeability anomaly, conductivity lineament and copper in soils anomaly situated on an iron-breccia cap for HRC_002 (Table 2 and Figure 2). The holes were drilled at 60 degrees to crosscut key structural and stratigraphic boundaries. Samples were collected from intercepts identified as mineralised in logging and using a portable field XRF.

A total of 99 samples were collected for HRC_002, including quality control samples and were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. An oxidised zone of 7 metres at 0.71% copper ("Cu") from 43-50 metres, with a peak assay of 1 metre @ 0.98% Cu from 46-47 metres was returned. HRC_002 failed at 94 metres in leached and weathered material. Deep leaching is seen at Kitumba in response to the Kitumba fault zone, hydrothermal alteration and the acid generation capacity of sulphide material.

Given these encouraging results, a diamond tail will be drilled on HRC_002 following the end of the wet season (in the second quarter of 2015). HRC_001 was un-mineralised and not sampled.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 3. Summary of assay results for HRC_002.

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|----|----------|------|
| 34 | 52 | 18 | 0.48 |
| Including | | | |
| 43 | 50 | 7 | 0.71 |
| 86 | 89 | 3 | 0.36 |

"KIT" RC Drilling - Assay Results

RC drilling in the Kitumba area was focused on sterilisation drilling in areas of planned infrastructure (Table 2 and Figure 2). Samples were collected from intercepts identified as mineralised in logging and using a portable field XRF. Holes were drilled at 60 degrees to crosscut key structural and stratigraphic boundaries.

13 samples were collected for KITRC_003, 13 for KITRC_004, 211 for KITRC_005 and 138 for KITRC_006. These samples (including quality control samples), were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Assays of note were only returned from KITRC_005. The best intercept from KITRC_005 was 4 metres @ 0.66% Cu from 202-206 metres.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 4. Summary of assay results for KITRC_005.

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 149 | 159 | 10 | 0.45 |
| 171 | 177 | 6 | 0.48 |
| 201 | 207 | 6 | 0.56 |

| | | |
|-----------|-------|------|
| Including | | |
| 202 | 206 4 | 0.66 |

"Target F" RC Drilling - Assay Results

RC drilling in the southern part of the Kakozhi IP survey area was focused on "Target F", a zone of high chargeability coincident with a copper in soils anomaly (Table 2 and Figure 2). Holes were drilled at 60 degrees to crosscut key structural and stratigraphic boundaries. Samples were collected from intercepts identified as mineralised in logging and using a portable field XRF.

43 samples were collected for KAKRC_002, including quality control samples and were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. The peak assay returned was 1 metre @ 0.54% Cu from 148-149 metres. The IP and copper in soils anomaly at Target F has been interpreted as occurring on the contact between a Hook intrusive and the older Kundelungu metasediments. No samples were collected from KAKRC_001 and KAKRC_003. No further work is recommended.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 5. Summary of assay results for KAKRC_002.

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 146 | 149 | 3 | 0.30 |

Kitumba Infill Drilling

KITDD_041A - Assay Results

KITDD_041A was drilled on an azimuth of 090, inclined 75 degrees to a depth of 599 metres. This hole was drilled to collect metallurgical samples as well as for resource definition. Intercepts of note include 42 metres @ 2.21% Cu from 252-294 metres.

A series of 537 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 6. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_041A (azi 090, dip 75, EOH 599 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 2 | 38 | 36 | 0.33 |
| 52 | 56 | 4 | 0.34 |
| 68 | 106 | 38 | 0.34 |
| 136 | 146 | 10 | 0.31 |
| 172 | 182 | 10 | 0.40 |
| 225 | 300 | 75 | 1.40 |
| Including | | | |
| 252 | 294 | 42 | 2.21 |
| 313 | 334 | 21 | 0.81 |
| 353 | 399 | 46 | 0.64 |
| 403 | 409 | 6 | 0.46 |
| 414 | 423 | 9 | 0.34 |
| 426 | 502 | 76 | 0.74 |
| 527 | 530 | 3 | 0.27 |
| 548 | 552 | 4 | 0.86 |

KITDD_052 - Assay Results

KITDD_052 was drilled on an azimuth of 90, inclined 70 degrees to a depth of 444 metres. This hole was drilled to collect metallurgical samples as well as for resource definition in the northern extent of the ore body. Intercepts of note include 22 metres @ 1.68% Cu from 356-378 metres.

A series of 367 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 7. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_052 (azi 090, dip 70, EOH 444m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 60 | 70 | 10 | 0.31 |
| 74 | 78 | 4 | 0.28 |
| 94 | 100 | 6 | 0.25 |
| 112 | 237 | 125 | 0.51 |
| 240 | 252 | 12 | 0.30 |
| 261 | 265 | 4 | 0.27 |
| 275 | 338 | 63 | 0.87 |
| Including | | | |
| 281 | 293 | 12 | 2.15 |
| 349 | 353 | 4 | 0.38 |
| 356 | 404 | 48 | 1.02 |
| Including | | | |
| 356 | 378 | 22 | 1.68 |
| 414 | 420 | 6 | 0.46 |
| 426 | 430 | 4 | 0.69 |

KITDD_053 - Assay Results

KITDD_053 was drilled vertically to a depth of 497 metres. This hole was drilled to collect metallurgical samples as well as for resource definition. Intercepts of note include 64 metres @ 1.55% Cu from 226-290 metres.

A series of 420 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 8. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_053 (vertical, EOH 497 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 50 | 200 | 150 | 0.35 |
| 205 | 209 | 4 | 0.33 |
| 212 | 218 | 6 | 0.33 |
| 226 | 290 | 64 | 1.55 |
| 298 | 320 | 22 | 0.94 |
| 325 | 343 | 18 | 0.94 |
| 350 | 359 | 9 | 0.93 |
| 364 | 371 | 7 | 0.37 |
| 415 | 439 | 24 | 0.75 |
| 444 | 448 | 4 | 0.43 |
| 451 | 456 | 5 | 1.28 |
| 469 | 479 | 10 | 0.99 |

KITDD_054 - Assay Results

KITDD_054 was drilled on an azimuth of 090, inclined 70 degrees to a depth of 551 meters. This hole was drilled to collect metallurgical samples as well as for resource definition in the northern end of the deposit. Intercepts of note include 26 metres @ 1.52% Cu from 378-404 metres.

A series of 469 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 9. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_054 (azi 090, dip 70, EOH 551m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 102 | 118 | 16 | 0.25 |
| 126 | 150 | 24 | 0.31 |
| 158 | 287 | 129 | 0.83 |
| 294 | 305 | 11 | 0.46 |
| 332 | 338 | 6 | 0.47 |
| 345 | 408 | 63 | 0.92 |
| Including | | | |
| 378 | 404 | 26 | 1.52 |
| 412 | 418 | 6 | 0.80 |
| 444 | 454 | 10 | 0.32 |
| 457 | 530 | 73 | 0.79 |

Kitumba West drilling

KITDD_068 - Assay Results

KITDD_068 was drilled on an azimuth of 087, inclined 55 degrees to a depth of 539 metres. This hole was drilled targeting an IP chargeability anomaly and following up low-moderate grade mineralisation in KITDD_015. Intercepts of note include 39 metres @ 0.75% Cu from 380-419 metres.

A series of 403 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 10. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_068 (azi 087, dip 55 EOH 539 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 32 | 36 | 4 | 0.29 |
| 60 | 66 | 6 | 0.51 |
| 176 | 186 | 10 | 0.46 |
| 300 | 304 | 4 | 0.98 |
| 321 | 345 | 24 | 0.71 |
| 358 | 364 | 6 | 0.38 |
| 369 | 421 | 52 | 0.64 |
| Including | | | |
| 380 | 419 | 39 | 0.75 |
| 435 | 440 | 5 | 0.33 |
| 444 | 446 | 2 | 1.10 |
| 450 | 461 | 11 | 0.41 |
| 473 | 483 | 10 | 0.33 |

KITDD_069 - Assay Results

KITDD_069 was drilled on an azimuth of 090, inclined 70 degrees to a depth of 249 metres. This hole was drilled to follow up shallow hypogene (sulphide) mineralisation intersected in KITDD_015 and KITDD_057. A shallow zone of sulphides was intersected including an assay of 1 metre @ 1.15% from 57-58 metres within a low- grade zone of 44 metres at 0.32% Cu from 40-84 metres.

A series of 145 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 11. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_069 (azi 090, dip 70 EOH 249 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|----|----------|------|
| 40 | 84 | 44 | 0.32 |

Kitumba East drilling

KITDD_070 - Assay Results

KITDD_070 was drilled on an azimuth of 088, inclined 65 degrees to a depth of 414 metres. This hole was drilled targeting the southern extension of the "Kitumba East" zone, a hematite breccia situated to the east of the Kitumba Fault Zone (the main ore body is located on the western side) previously intersected in KITDD_036. The hole successfully intersected "Kitumba East" in multiple zones, however grades are below ore grade. Best intercepts include 20 metres @ 0.97% Cu from 289-309 metres. KITDD_067 was drilled targeting the interpreted northern extension of Kitumba East, however was un-mineralised and not sampled.

A series of 209 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 12. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_070 (azi 088, dip 65 EOH 414 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 214 | 230 | 16 | 0.29 |
| 240 | 284 | 44 | 0.47 |
| 289 | 309 | 20 | 0.97 |
| 364 | 373 | 9 | 0.67 |
| 377 | 396 | 19 | 0.68 |

Geotechnical drilling

KITDD_056 - Assay Results

KITDD_056 was drilled vertically to a depth of 196 metres. This hole was drilled for geotechnical information on the planned position of a ventilation shaft. No assays of note were returned.

A series of 110 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

KITDD_057 - Assay Results

KITDD_057 was drilled vertically to a depth of 196 metres. This hole was drilled for geotechnical information on the planned position of a ventilation shaft.

A series of 131 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 13. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_057 (vertical, EOH 196 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|----|----------|------|
| 32 | 63 | 31 | 0.47 |
| 80 | 84 | 4 | 0.41 |
| 90 | 94 | 4 | 0.32 |

KITDD_058 - Assay Results

KITDD_058 was drilled vertically to a depth of 329 metres. This hole was drilled for geotechnical information on the planned position of a ventilation shaft.

A series of 197 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 14. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_058

(vertical, EOH 329 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 218 | 223 | 5 | 0.72 |
| 235 | 242 | 7 | 0.58 |

KITDD_059 - Assay Results

KITDD_059 was drilled vertically to a depth of 214 metres. This hole was drilled for geotechnical information on the planned position of a ventilation shaft.

A series of 140 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 15. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_059 (vertical, EOH 214 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|------|-----|----------|------|
| 26 | 36 | 10 | 0.35 |
| 54 | 60 | 6 | 0.31 |
| 77 | 82 | 5 | 0.56 |
| 139 | 144 | 5 | 1.30 |
| 184 | 188 | 4 | 0.25 |

KITDD_060 - Assay Results

KITDD_060 was drilled on an azimuth of 115, inclined 70 degrees to a depth of 522 metres. This hole was drilled on the planned position of the decline. Narrow zones of mineralisation including 12 metres @ 1.31% Cu from 333-345 metres.

A series of 402 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 16. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_060 (azi 115, dip 70 EOH 522 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 108 | 126 | 18 | 0.32 |
| 130 | 134 | 4 | 0.33 |
| 218 | 224 | 6 | 0.28 |
| 234 | 254 | 20 | 0.27 |
| 261 | 264 | 3 | 0.37 |
| 268 | 270 | 2 | 0.87 |
| 294 | 307 | 13 | 0.44 |
| 312 | 317 | 5 | 0.38 |
| 322 | 345 | 23 | 0.94 |
| Including | | | |
| 333 | 345 | 12 | 1.31 |
| 370 | 379 | 9 | 0.35 |
| 386 | 391 | 5 | 0.33 |
| 395 | 401 | 6 | 0.56 |
| 404 | 409 | 5 | 0.40 |
| 414 | 423 | 9 | 0.75 |
| 440 | 453 | 13 | 0.35 |
| 467 | 473 | 6 | 0.87 |

KITDD_062 - Assay Results

KITDD_062 was drilled on an azimuth of 115, inclined 70 degrees to a depth of 500 metres. This hole was drilled on the

planned position of the decline. Narrow zones of mineralisation (outside of the mine design) including 7 metres @ 1.48% Cu from 344-351 metres.

A series of 311 samples, including quality control samples, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

Final results having passed QA/QC are summarised here.

Table 17. Summary of assay results for drill hole KITDD_062 (azi 115, dip 70 EOH 500 m)

| From | To | Interval | Cu % |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|
| 10 | 20 | 10 | 0.32 |
| 152 | 156 | 4 | 0.33 |
| 178 | 190 | 12 | 0.46 |
| 198 | 208 | 10 | 0.44 |
| 226 | 230 | 4 | 0.39 |
| 274 | 309 | 35 | 0.37 |
| 324 | 336 | 12 | 0.39 |
| 342 | 352 | 10 | 1.15 |
| Including | | | |
| 344 | 351 | 7 | 1.48 |
| 354 | 380 | 26 | 0.41 |
| 392 | 428 | 36 | 0.33 |
| 444 | 450 | 6 | 0.40 |

Notes:

Sampling and assaying of the drill core and RC chips collected follows a standard site protocol with samples being submitted to the Intertek Genalysis Laboratory preparation facility in Chingola, Zambia before being shipped to the Intertek Genalysis Laboratory in Perth, Australia for analysis (4-acid digest with an ICP finish).

A cut-off grade of 0.25% copper, a maximum internal dilution of 2 metres (drilled thickness) and a drilled thickness of >2 metres are used as a guideline when delineating the drilled thickness intervals of mineralisation, with length-weighted average grades reported. True-widths are not quoted, as the mineralised zone is associated with a sub-vertical "pipe" shaped zone of brecciation. No upper limit has been applied to copper grades in these exploration results.

A total of 8 elements were analysed. Multi-element analyses (including copper) were performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analyses by the fully NATA accredited Intertek Genalysis Laboratory in Perth, Australia. Samples were analysed for total copper and Ca, Fe, K, Mn and S by 4-acid digest with an ICP-OES finish, U by 4-acid digest with an ICP-MS finish, and acid-soluble copper (ASCu) by cold acid leach with an AAS finish.

A Quality Assurance/Quality Control ("QA/QC") program includes chain of custody protocol, a systematic submittal of 20% QA/QC samples including field duplicates, field blanks and certified reference samples into the flow of samples submitted to the laboratory and submission of samples for umpire analysis by a second accredited laboratory.

ATTRIBUTION The information in this report which relates to exploration results at the Mumbwa Project in Zambia is based on information compiled by Mr Michael J Robertson, MSc, Pr.Sci.Nat., MSAIMM who is a member of the South African Institute of Metallurgy, which is a Recognised Professional Organisation ('RPO'). Mr Robertson has more than 22 years' experience in mineral exploration and is a full-time employee of The MSA Group. Mr Robertson has sufficient experience which is the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which is being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' and a Qualified Person as defined in the Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects). Mr Robertson has consented to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

Forward-looking statements

This announcement contains certain forward-looking statements relating to, but not limited to Intrepid's expectations, intentions, plans and beliefs. Forward-looking information can often be identified by forward-looking words such as 'anticipate', 'believe', 'expect', 'goal', 'plan', 'intend', 'estimate', 'may' and 'will' or similar words suggesting future outcomes, or other expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions, intentions or statements about future outcomes, or statements about future events or performance. Forward-looking information may include reserve and resource estimates, estimates of future production, unit costs, costs of capital projects, and timing of commencement of operations and is based on current expectations that involve a

number of business risks and uncertainties. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to, failure to establish estimated resources and reserves, the grade and recovery of ore which is mined varying from estimates, capital and operating costs varying significantly from estimates, delays in obtaining or failures to obtain required governmental, environmental or other project approvals, inflation, changes in exchange rates, fluctuations in commodity prices, delays in the development of projects and other factors. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied.

Shareholders and potential investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information. By its nature, forward-looking information involves numerous assumptions, inherent risks and uncertainties, both general and specific, that contribute to the possibility that the predictions, forecasts, projections and various future events will not occur. Intrepid undertakes no obligation to update publicly or otherwise revise any forward-looking information whether as a result of new information, future events or other such factors which affect this information, except as required by law.

Appendix1: JORC Code, 2012 Edition

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nature and quality of sampling (eg, cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement methods appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc) examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. - Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement systems used. - Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. - In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg, 'reverse circulation drilling' used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual combinations of mineralisation types (eg, submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. |
| Sampling techniques | |
| Drilling techniques | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Drill type (eg, core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg, core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and any other relevant details, etc). |
| Drill sample recovery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. - Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. - Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. |
| Logging | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. - The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. |
| Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximize representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. |
| Quality of assay data and laboratory tests | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analytical results including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie, lack of bias) and precision have been established. |
| Verification of sampling and assaying | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. - The use of twinned holes. - Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. - Discuss any adjustment to assay data. |

- Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.
- Specification of the grid system used.
- Quality and adequacy of topographic control.

Location of data points

Data spacing and distribution

- Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.
- Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.
- Whether sample compositing has been applied.

Orientation of data in relation to geological structure

- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.
- If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to be important, the relationship should be considered and reported, including how the orientation of sampling was introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.
- The measures taken to ensure sample security.

Sample security

Audits or reviews

- The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.
- The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria JORC Code explanation

Mineral tenement and land tenure status

- Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.
- The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to drill in the area.

Exploration done by other parties

- Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.
- Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralization.

Geology

- A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:
 - easting and northing of the drill hole collar
 - elevation or RL (Reduced Level - elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar
 - dip and azimuth of the hole
 - down hole length and interception depth
 - hole length.

Drill hole Information

- If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.
- In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg. high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.
- Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be included in detail.

Data aggregation methods

- The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.

Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths

- These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.
- If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.
- If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg. 'true width not known').

Diagrams

- Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant drill holes being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sections.

Balanced reporting

- Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.

Other substantive exploration data

- Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.

Further work

- The nature and scale of planned further work (eg, tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale stoping or drilling).

- Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and further work areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.

Contact

[Intrepid Mines Ltd.](#)

Scott Lowe

Chief Executive Officer

+61 2 9357 9000

info@intrepidmines.com

www.intrepidmines.com